THE STATE OF ARIZONA ARIZONA STATE PARKS BOARD

TRANSCRIPT OF AUDIO RECORDED TELEPHONIC PUBLIC MEETING

Phoenix, Arizona

August 16, 2013 10:00 a.m.

> Miller Certified Reporting, LLC PO Box 513 Litchfield Park, Arizona 85340 (P) 623-975-7472 (F) 623-975-7462

Transcribed by: Dawn Archambo C.E.R.T. 00231

A PUBLIC MEETING, BEFORE THE ARIZONA STATE PARKS BOARD, convened on August 16, 2013, at the State Parks offices located at 1300 West Washington Street, Phoenix, Arizona.

APPEARANCES:

(Note: No official roll call made)

- Mr. Larry Landry, Chairman
- Mr. Bryan Martyn, Director
- Mr. Walter Armer, Jr., Board Member
- Ms. Kay Daggett, Board Member
- Mr. Kent Ennis, Deputy Director
- Mr. Alan Everett, Board Member

OTHERS PRESENT:

- Mr. Paul Katz, Assistant Attorney General
- Mr. R.J. Cardin, Maricopa County Parks and Recreation

1 MR. CHAIRMAN: I will call the meeting to 2 order, and since I don't have an Agenda in front of me, 3 Mr. Director, will you just read the Agenda items and 4 we'll go through them. 5 Yes, Mr. Chairman. Mr. Chairman, MR. MARTYN: 6 I call to order roll call real quick and we've already 7 accomplished the roll call. Kelly has identified who is 8 in the meeting. You do have a quorum. Pledge of 9 Allegiance is on the Agenda, so we'll knock that out real 10 quick. 11 (Pledge of Allegiance from members) 12 MR. MARTYN: Mr. Chairman, Item C includes an 13 introduction of Board Members and Agency staff. 14 Everybody that's in the room is familiar with the Board. 15 If you would like to forego that. 16 MR. CHAIRMAN: Yes, I'll forgo that. 17 there anybody there for call to the public? 18 MR. MARTYN: Mr. Chairman, at this time there 19 is nobody here for call to the public and request that 20 you close the call to the public. 21 MR. CHAIRMAN: Close that and move on to the 2.2. next item. 23 MR. MARTYN: Item E are Board action items. 24 Discuss and consider submitting a letter from the Board 25 to the League of Arizona Cities and Towns regarding their proposed resolution, it's attached, to develop and pass legislation, potentially impacting Arizona State Parks and the Arizona State Park Heritage Fund Services.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Would you explain the issue, Mr. Director?

MR. MARTYN: I'm happy to, Mr. Chairman. Thank you. Mr. Chairman and Board Members, currently the leaders of (indiscernible) has a number of proposals. The number is 20, there's actually 19 proposals and they are meeting this week. Resolution No. 12 includes a proposal I will read.

"To develop and pass legislation to insure the viability of Arizona State Parks, including but not limited to allowing the municipalities to enter into long-term leases of State Parks and the restoration of the Arizona State Park Heritage Fund."

It is the opinion of staff and myself, that although somewhat innocuous sounding, the language that is referenced here as "long-term leases," is problematic to State Parks, as it basically will allow a city to take over a State Park operation inclusively, for the benefit of them, I know relative to capital improvements and the like. Specifically, those Parks that we utilize the revenues as an Agency to help, would be taken away. That is problematic.

The issue of the restoration of the Heritage
Fund is a bit of a red herring. Actually, it's a
combination of Resolution No. 13 and it's been provided
in 12. It was sponsored -- is sponsored by the City of
Yuma and the City of Sedona. It's my -- I would assume
that they -- that the original sponsor of No. 12 and the
sponsor of No. 13 were combined. I don't see any reason
why Sedona would sign up for the long-term lease,
although they would benefit from the resurgence of the
Heritage Fund.

There are a number of towns and cities that are also signed on as co-sponsors. But at this point, we at State Parks have identified this as potential -- for potential legislation to have a negative impact on Arizona State Parks.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Any questions of the Board?
Board Members, do you have any questions or comments?

MS. DAGGETT: Ms. Chairman, this is Kay

Daggett. I just have a couple of questions if I may. Ir
the proposed and effect of the Resolution where it says

"Provide dedicated funding mechanisms to support the
Parks," if there's an agreement between municipalities
and State Parks for these leases, why would the State
provide municipalities dedicated funding and not State
Parks?

MR. MARTYN: Mr. Chairman and Ms. Daggett, the issue of the lease is somewhat open-ended, where they would take all -- I'm not saying they would get money from the State to operate these Parks, but they would have the ability to take any revenues above and beyond operating expenses if they have total control of the lease. That is problematic in itself.

For example, Lake Havasu State Park, if the Town of Lake Havasu were to take over the Park, the State Park system would be -- would lose out on about \$400,000 worth of positive cash flow, which is spread throughout the Parks.

We currently have a method in place already (indiscernible) and agreements to operate Parks in conjunction with cities, but we don't give total control over to the City and that's what we're trying to avoid.

MS. DAGGETT: I understand. Thank you, Mr. Director. Mr. Chairman, I have another question, too, if I may.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Certainly. Just keep going.

MS. DAGGETT: Okay. In the second paragraph under "Purpose and Effect of the Resolution," where it says, "share in the financial support of the Parks." Is that a structured document as far as share in the support, or is that on an individual basis on how the

support of the Park and who deems everything that's necessary to support a Park?

MR. MARTYN: Mr. Chairman, Ms. Daggett, the opinion of staff is that an open-ended lease like that would place the onerous of what they want to spend their money on with the city or municipality. And they could potentially have a different agenda than the Arizona State Parks.

Again, we already have MOU's that allow municipalities to invest in these Parks. They just want the long-term insurance that we're going to do that and that they can operate these Parks.

Again, I go back to Yuma, which is a very good partnership with municipality for the two Parks. We have things in place. This just opens a whole can of worms. This has a potential to let these cities take our profitable Parks and that would as you all well know, sabotage the entire Agency.

MS. DAGGETT: Thank you, Mr. Director. Those were the two questions that really pertained to the long-term leases. If we want to finish that first and then move into the Heritage Fund, I'll hold (indiscernible).

MR. CHAIRMAN: Why don't you keep just going, Kay, while you know, ask all of your comments.

MS. DAGGETT: Okay. Then in referencing the Heritage Park Funds, is the Heritage Park Funds solely for State Parks, or is it also local Municipal Parks?

MR. MARTYN: Mr. Chairman and Ms. Daggett,
Heritage Funds are distributed through a grant process
and many municipalities apply for and are awarded those.
And you know, Heritage Funds was taken away at the State
Park level, and those funds, those lottery dollars are
being utilized to pay off bonds.

The State Parks takes no position at this time on that, especially relative to the Governor's lack of a position. Although we'd love to have Heritage back, it does a lot of good things, the Board has not determined one way or the other if they're going to take a position on Heritage Fund.

MS. DAGGETT: Thank you. And if the leases are
-- any considerations for the leases, any partnership,
any Heritage Fund grants, who would actually be the over
-- who would have oversight? Would that be State Parks?

MR. CHAIRMAN: Traditionally, State Parks has had oversight and was the Grantor of the Heritage Funds. And I don't see anything in this that would change that. The unfortunate thing about this is they never came and talked to us. We just discovered this late last week.

Means it would have been fixed, the language, if they had come and worked with us. But they didn't.

Traditionally, State Parks has had sole discretion, although they have a very elaborate grant process on the oversight and administration of the grant funds, of the Heritage Funds for Parks.

MS. DAGGETT: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Then with regard to this Resolution, it just seems as the Director has noted, that it's very ambiguous, and at leaves a lot of concerns for further discussion.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Yeah. Okay.

MR. ARMER: This is Wally. Let me make just two brief comments. It's interesting at least three of the submitted by cities there, Kingman, Lake Havasu and Oro Valley, that's where -- I don't mean Kingman, I mean Yuma, Lake Havasu. But one of the things to keep in mind even if we for some reason were in favor of this proposal, is that many of our contracts -- be they leases, patents, what have you -- severely restrict who other than Arizona State Parks can operate the facilities.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Correct.

MR. ARMER: If it were to be determined that we no longer had control, I think there's probably an

excellent possibility we would lose those assets, as would the municipality involved.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I think that's absolutely correct.

MR. MARTYN: Mr. Chairman and Colonel Armer, you are absolutely correct on that. There are a number of restrictions relative to that as you're well aware when we were considering closing the Parks. It's almost the exact same thing if somebody else tries to take over the property.

I don't believe that the cities and towns are aware of the ramifications or limitations of the current Park system.

MR. EVERETT: Mr. Chairman, this is Alan.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Yes, sir, go forward.

MR. EVERETT: The Agenda item says we'll write a letter to the Board of Directors of the League with our opinions and feelings. One thought I had was sending a letter explaining that while the State Parks has been through very difficult times in the past, all the Parks are open. We've got a great, great working relationship with a number of cities and towns. We've been able to keep the Parks open because we understand the economic value to the cities and towns, and that we're working on a number of initiatives to move the State Parks to a new

level of economic development and service to the communities, and that we respectfully ask them to table this while we work together, you know, over the next few months or something like that.

But I would not just send a letter saying we oppose this. I think we can phrase it in such a way that we're partners together and, you know, all of those kind of things.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Alan, would you be willing to make a motion to the effect of that a letter be sent to that effect?

MR. EVERETT: Sure, I will. I move that we send a letter to the Board of the League of Citizen Towns explaining -- a short explanation of the recent history of State Parks and explaining that we have a great partnership with cities and towns in Arizona, and through that partnership all of the Parks are open, and explain that initiatives are underway and we respectfully ask that they table this Resolution so that we can all work together to benefit State Parks and provide economic development for the cities and towns.

MS. DAGGETT: I'll second the motion.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. I have just one question. Bryan, as I understand it from our talk earlier this

1 morning, that the Resolutions are being voted on 2 tomorrow. 3 MR. EVERET: Thursday. To the Board, or shall we send 4 MR. CHAIRMAN: 5 it to every city? 6 MR. EVERETT: It's Thursday, I think is the 7 business meeting. I would just send it to the Board 8 right now until you see --9 MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. We have a motion and a 10 second then. Is there any further discussion? 11 MR. MARTYN: Mr. Chairman, Paul, the attorney, 12 has a good comment if it's all right. 13 MR. CHAIRMAN: Paul? 14 MR. KATZ: Yes, this is Paul Katz with the 15 Attorney General's Office. I think that as part of that 16 motion we ought to designate whether it's Bryan or a 17 Member of the Board to the person writing that letter. 18 I'll be happy to review it with whoever writes it if you 19 want to get legal advice or opinion regarding the 20 contents of that letter. 21 But I think the motion also ought to include 22 who it is, either on the Board or on staff, that would be 23 designated to write that letter. 24 MR. EVERETT: I agree. This is Alan. Larry, 25 can I?

1	MR. LANDRY: Sure.
2	MR. EVERETT: I would suggest and make an
3	addendum to the motion that we have the Board, Mr.
4	Chairman and the Executive Director of State Parks sign
5	the letter.
6	MR. MARTYN: Mr. Chairman, this is Bryan. Mr.
7	Everett, although I don't disagree at all. I need to
8	remember that I don't have an opinion one way or the
9	other from the Governor's office relative to this
10	Resolution, and as a signatory, would be incumbent upon
11	me to check with the Governor's office relative to any
12	position.
13	MR. EVERETT: I understand and I revised my
14	amendment to say that the letter should be signed by the
15	Chairman of State Parks.
16	MR. ARMER: This is Wally. I agree and second.
17	MR. CHAIRMAN: All those in favor?
18	BOARD MEMBER: Mr. Chairman?
19	MR. CHAIRMAN: Yes.
20	MR. CARDIN: Can I make one comment? This is
21	R.J.
22	MR. CHAIRMAN: Yes.
23	Mr. CARDIN: I'm in full agreement with what
24	Alan had said. The only thing I'm wondering is, do we
25	cover the Heritage Fund in that motion, and should we add

something into that motion to include either our support or not of the Heritage Fund component of that? Because that was -- sounds like two resolutions that were put into one.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Yeah. Well, R.J., that's a good point. We're already on record from previous Board action of supporting restoration of the Heritage Fund. I guess my only thing is I don't know that we need to reaffirm that. I'm certainly willing to personally. But I think -- I don't want to send an unambiguous message. I mean, I think the first part is egregious enough. I would like to keep it short and sweet. Essentially, we're not supporting it as written and here are some of our concerns. I don't think anyone would doubt our support for the Heritage Fund, but I'll defer to the will of the Board.

MR. ARMER: This is Wally. I don't think it needs to be included either. I think quite frankly that's nothing but to try and make it (indiscernible) so we could buy off on it. I don't think that has anything to do with the real intent of the Resolution.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Well, I then have a motion and a second. Any further discussion? All those in favor?

(Chorus of "ayes.")

MR. CHAIRMAN: Any oppose? Motion is unanimous. Do we have any other business before the Board?

MR. ARMER: I would -- this is Wally again. I would just add one thing and maybe it shouldn't be in the letter at this time, but I'll leave that up to whoever is drafting it, about the fact that quite frankly, addressing the contracts or the patents or leases we have and how it could jeopardize the future existence of the Park regardless of who is managing it, maybe that doesn't need to be in it at this point. That's for further discussion. But it's certainly something for us to keep in mind, and that any discussions that anybody has with him at some point, they need to be made aware of that if they're not already, which I seriously doubt they are.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Yeah, okay. Very good. Thank you. I don't have the Agenda in front of me. Mr. Director, will you go through the next item?

MR. MARTYN: Mr. Chairman, Item F includes the time and place and calls for future Agenda items. The next State Parks meeting is September 18 here at the Board Room at 10:00.

Is there anything at this time that the Board would like to ensure that we add to the next Board meeting that we haven't already identified?

1 BOARD MEMBER: No, I'm good. 2 MR. CHAIRMAN: Actually, the Agenda -- the 3 Board meeting will actually start earlier because we have 4 to have an Executive Session. We have to start a Board, 5 then adjourn into Executive Session, then come back, 6 right? 7 MR. MARTYN: Correct, Mr. Chairman. But that's 8 not the public portion of the Board. That's just the 9 Executive Session. 10 MR. CHAIRMAN: No. You have to first -- Kelly, 11 I believe, the attorney can correct me if I'm wrong. 12 have to open in public session and adjourn to Executive 13 Session, and then adjourn out of Executive Session back 14 to public. 15 MR. MARTYN: Right. 16 MR. CHAIRMAN: Is that right, Paul? 17 That is correct. MR. KATZ: 18 MR. CHAIRMAN: So we actually have to post the 19 meeting starting, but we can say the Board intends to go 20 into Executive Session at 10:00 -- I mean at 9:00, and 21 the public portion starts at 10:00. But we have to post 22 the meeting starting at 9:00, don't we, Paul? 23 MR. KATZ: Yes, we have to post the meeting starting at 9:00 and you can just have the usual language 24 25 that it can be made over to Executive Session, or you can

1 in fact state that we are going to go into Executive 2 Session from 9:00 to 10:00, and that the public will 3 begin at 10:00. But normally we would just post it for 4 either 9:00 and 10:00 and then go into Executive Session, 5 so that if that doesn't take long, you can get the public 6 portion of the meeting started without delay. 7 MR. MARTYN: We can start it whatever time you 8 wish, Mr. Chairman. The language regarding Executive 9 Session is always at the top of the Agenda. 10 MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. So well, I'll ask Kay and 11 Wally, what time do you want to start, since you have the 12 longest trips? 13 MR. ARMER: It depends on how much you've got 14 to cover in Exec Session. I really don't have a good 15 handle on that. 16 MR. CHAIRMAN: I know of a couple of items, but 17 I don't know how long they'll take. Let's say 9:00 then. 18 MS. DAGGETT: Mr. Chairman, that would be fine. 19 I can come up and spend the night the night before. 20 MR. CHAIRMAN: Great. Thank you. Is there any 21 other business to come before the Board? I want to thank 22 you for such short notice and then we are adjourned. 23 MR. ARMER: I do have one -- this is Wally. 24 Bryan, would you give me a quick holler when we're

```
1
         through here. I've got a couple of questions for you ever
2
         so briefly?
3
                   MR. MARTYN: Yes, sir, I'll call you within the
4
         next five minutes.
5
                   MR. ARMER: Okay. Thank you.
                   MR. CHAIRMAN: Having -- I don't believe I need
6
7
         a motion to adjourn. I will just declare the meeting
8
         adjourned.
9
                   MS. DAGGETT: Thank you, Mr. Chairman and good-
10
         bye.
11
                    (Chorus of good-byes)
12
13
                    (End of recording)
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1 CERTIFICATION 2 3 I certify that I transcribed the testimony in the 4 foregoing matter from a CD, and that the preceding pages 5 of typewritten matter is true, accurate and complete 6 accounting of all testimony from the audio recordings, to 7 the best of my skill and ability. I further certify that I am in no way related to any 8 9 of the parties and that I am not in any way interested in 10 the outcome thereof. 11 Dated this day of November 2013. 12 13 14 /s/ Dawn Archambo 15 Dawn Archambo 16 Certified Electronic Transcriber No. 00231 17 18 Revisions made on January 28, 2014 by: 19 20 /s/ Angela F. Miller 21 Angela F. Miller, RPR, CR (AZ50127) 22 Certified Reporter 23